

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/067,337	04/27/1998	HUBERT KOSTER	24743-2301	9981
	24961	7590 01/16/2002			
	HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 4250 EXECUTIVE SQ 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
				WILSON, JAMES O	
	LA JOLLA, C	CA 92037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1623	0.4
				DATE MAILED: 01/16/2002	\mathcal{L}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

09/067,337

James O. Wilson

Art Unit

1623

Koster et al.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE ONE MONTH(S) FROM				
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	ation.				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 8, 20</u>	001				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-49</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-49</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some* c) None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:					

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

The response to the species requirement set forth in the office action dated June 5, 2001 filed August 8, 2001 has been received. The election was made without traverse to examine compounds which do not contain a nucleoside compound. In addition to the requirement set forth June 5, 2001, the election of a single species is required.

Claims 5, 33 and 48 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Species A: Non-phenylene containing compounds of Ia or Ib

Species B: Phenylene containing compounds of Ia or Ib

Species C: Non-heterocyclic compounds of Ic or Id

Species D: Heterocyclic compounds of Ic or Id

Species E: Carbocyclic compounds of Ie or If.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. A single species will be recognized as any one of A through E, and one of Ia to If.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/067,337 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James O. Wilson, Primary Examiner in art Unit 1623 whose telephone number is (703) 308-4624.

October 22, 2001

JAMES O. WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER